

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

		DIDOTALLIATED DIVIDITION	ATTORNITY DOGUETNIC	CONTRIBUTATION
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/976,796	10/12/2001	Kennard K. Addis	PA0457DGA01	2441
7:	590 09/22/2003			8
Douglas G. Anderson P.O. Box 8965 Vancouver, WA 98668-8965			EXAMINER	
			ANDERSON, MATTHEW A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1765	
			DATE MAILED: 09/22/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No. Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summers	09/976,796	ADDIS, KENNARD K.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAIL INC DATE - Edit	Matthew A. Anderson	1765				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	Dears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to you within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) do will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDON	timely filed ays will be considered timely. m the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) $oxed{\boxtimes}$ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09</u> .	July 2003 .					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ Th	nis action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims	Expanto Quayio, 1000 O.D. 11,	100 0.0.210.				
4) Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>12 October 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	ıreau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	ic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(e) (to a provisional application).				
a) The translation of the foreign language pro	• •					
Attachment(s)	_					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informa	ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) al Patent Application (PTO-152)				
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office						

Application/Control Number: 09/976,796

Art Unit: 1765

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hitoshi et al. (JP-61044792).

Hitoshi et al. discloses an apparatus used in Cz pulling of semiconductor ingots comprising a quartz crucible (with a bowl shape) for containing Si melt placed within a carbon (i.e. graphite) crucible (i.e. susceptor) having a similar bowl shape. A through hole is formed in the sidewall of the quartz crucible above the melt. This hole is formed to the carbon crucible and it allows SiO gas to escape and thus prevents dislocations at the ingot growth interface. As seen in the Fig. 3, a gap exists between the wall of the crucible and the wall of the susceptor.

The examiner notes that the intended use including gas flows during use of an apparatus is not germane to the patentability of that apparatus. No specific structure concerning gas flow direction control is included in the claims.

Application/Control Number: 09/976,796

Art Unit: 1765

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 3,4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hitoshi et al. as applied to claims 1,2 above, and further in view of Fukada et al. (JP 406157186 A).

Hitoshi et al. is described above.

Hitoshi et al. does not disclose a protective coating on the graphite susceptor.

Fukada et al. discloses an coating layer applied to graphite susceptors used in the Cz method. The layer is described as preventing crazing (i.e. surface material loss) thus improving the process. One of the oxidation resistant materials suggested as the coating is SiC in col. 3 lines 27-31.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the present invention to combine Taguchi e al. with the Fukada et al. because the SiC coating of Fukada et al. was described as preventing crazing of the susceptor and thus reducing costs for the process. Additionally, less dust from crazing would be present and so less possibility for dislocations from that dust.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the present invention to coat the graphite susceptor with SiC because Fukada et al suggests such a coating will prevent graphite crazing.

5. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hitoshi et al. as applied to claims 1,2 above, and further in view of Wegmeth et al. (US 5,372,090).

Hitoshi et al. is described above.

Hitoshi et al. does not disclose the vertical orientation of the ventilation holes.

Wegmeth discloses a support crucible (i.e. susceptor) of graphite (i.e. carbon) made in sections as in Figs. 2 and 3. A gap exists vertically at least along a portion of the susceptor as shown in Fig. 3.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the present invention to combine the Wegmeth split susceptor with the apparatus of Hitoshi et al. because then the quartz crucible would not be crushed during the cooling of the apparatus because of differential cooling of the quartz versus the graphite. (See Wegmeth et al. col. 1 line 55+ and col. 2 lines 1-5.)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the present invention to vertically orient the holes along at least a portion of the susceptor because Wegmeth et al. suggests such a spacing.

R sponse to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed 7/9/2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The argument that the intended use of the apparatus is relevant in patentability considerations for apparatus claims is not convincing especially in light of lack of structural limitations of gas flow in the claims.

Conclusion

7. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/976,796

Art Unit: 1765

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Matthew A. Anderson whose telephone number is (703) 308-0086. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th, 6:30-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nadine Norton can be reached on (703) 305-2667. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306 for regular communications and (703) 872-9306 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

MAA September 10, 2003

NADINE CONTON PRIMARY EXAMINER Man Man Page 6